

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/616,335 07/09/2003 Vlasta Brusic Kaufman 98010CONDIV 1377 29050 09/23/2005 **EXAMINER** 7590 STEVEN WESEMAN GOUDREAU, GEORGE A ASSOCIATE GENERAL COUNSEL, I.P. ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER CABOT MICROELECTRONICS COPORATION 870 NORTH COMMONS DRIVE 1763 AURORA, IL 60504

DATE MAILED: 09/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	10/616,335	KAUFMAN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	George A. Goudreau	1763	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence a	ddress
• •	VIC SET TO EVOIDE AMO	NITU(S) OD TUIDTV (	30) DAVE
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 136(a). In no event, however, may a re- will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT e, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. bly be timely filed  HS from the mailing date of this NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
tatus			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 J	<u>lune 2005</u> .		
2a)⊠ This action is (FINAL.) 2b)□ This	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	ance except for formal matte	rs, prosecution as to th	ne merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
isposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application	1.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		
pplication Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	₽r		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		v the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• •	•	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	•	• •	CFR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	•	•	, ,
riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	,	(,, (,, (,,	
1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.		•
2. Certified copies of the priority document		plication No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ority documents have been r	eceived in this Nationa	ıl Stage
application from the International Burea	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not re	eceived.	A ()
		GEORGE (	SOUDREAU EXAMINER
tachment(s)		9-	19-051
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		mmary (PTO-413)	. (
<ul> <li>✓ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>✓ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> </ul>		Mail Date ormal Patent Application (P1	ГО-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:		•

Art Unit: 1763

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

- 2. Claims 1-19 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 10-18 of U.S. Patent No. 6,432,828. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because of the same reasons as those stated in paragraph 3 of the previous office action.
- 3. Applicant's arguments filed 6-27-05' have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The applicant argues the following points regarding the examiner's rejection of their claimed subject matter.

-Applicant argues that they have submitted a properly executed terminal disclaimer over US patent 6,432,828, which obviates the examiner's previous rejection of their claimed subject matter.

Application/Control Number: 10/616,335 Page 3

Art Unit: 1763

The examiner must disagree.

-The terminal disclaimer, which was submitted by the applicant, is improper since

it is not signed by an attorney of record. Applicant has therefore failed to

overcome the examiner's previous ODP rejection of their claims in this regard.

4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time

policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to examiner

George A. Goudreau at telephone number (571)-272-1434.

George A. Goudreau

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1763